

## Ubaya SATUAN ACARA PERKULIAHAN

| MINGGU | MATERI |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Introduction to inventory management, ABC <br> analysis \& Cost component |
| $2-3$ |  <br> Sensitivity Analysis |
| $4-5$ | Perubahan harga: all unit, incremental, <br> special disc \& known price increasing |
| 6 | FOI Single \& Multiple |
| 7 | Single \& Multi item: FOI \& EPQ |
| UTS |  |

## UBAYA SATUAN ACARA PERKULIAHAN

| MINGGU | MATERI |
| :---: | :--- |
| 8 | Excess Model (with solver) |
| $9-11$ | Probabilistic Inventory Model |
| 12 | Single Order Quantity |
| $13 \& 14$ | Multi Item Multi Supplier Inventory Systems |
| UAS |  |

## EVALUASI

- NTS (NAS) $=75 \%$ UTS (UAS) $+25 \%$ Tugas \& Quiz
- Nilai akhir $=40 \%$ NTS $+60 \%$ NAS


## Ubaya TUJUAN

## memahami

- Fungsi manajemen persediaan
- Jenis-jenis persediaan
- Fungsi persediaan
- Komponen biaya persediaan
- Klasifikasi problem persediaan
- Model sistem persediaan


## Ubaya MAIERIAL RLOW



## ubaya CONFLICTING GOALS

| Functional <br> Area | Functional <br> Responsibility | Inventory <br> Goal | Inventory <br> Inclination |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Marketing | Sell the product | Good <br> customer <br> service | High |
| Production | Make the product | Efficient lot <br> sizes | High |
| Purchasing | Buy required <br> materials | Low cost per <br> unit | High |
| Finance | Provide working <br> capital | Efficient use <br> of capital | Low |
| Engineering | Design the product | Avoiding <br> obsolescence | Low |

## UBAyA MANAJEMEN PERSEDIAAN

- Mengatur persediaan material mulai dari bahan baku (raw material) sampai barang jadi yang akan dikirim ke konsumen
- Dengan tujuan:

1. Memaksimumkan customer service,
2. Meminimumkan biaya operasional dan
3. Meminimumkan biaya investasi di persediaan.

## ubaya Mengapa Perlu Persediaan?

- Jumlah supply tidalk selalu sesuai dengan jumlah permintaan
- Laju datangnya supply tidak selalu sama dengan laju permintaan



## JENIS PERSEDIAAN «e JENIS PERUSAHAAN

| Jenis Perusahaan | Jenis Persediaan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Supplies | Raw <br> Materials | In-process Goods | Finished Goods |
| A. Sistem Retail |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Sale of goods | * |  |  | * |
| 2. Sale of service | * |  |  |  |
| B. Wholesaler distribution systems | * |  |  | * |
| C. Sistem manufaktur |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Special project | * | * | * | * |
| 2. Intermittent process | * | * | * | * |
| 3. Continuous process | * | * | * | * |

## UBAYA FUNGSI PERSEDIAAN

- Working stock (cycle or lot size stock)
- Safety stock (buffer or fluctuation stock)
- Anticipation stock (seasonal or stabilization stock)
- Pipeline stock (transit or work in process)
- Decoupling stock $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ dependent process
- Psychic stock $\rightarrow$ promotion


## ubaya BIAYA PERSEDIAAN

1. Purchase cost (P)
a. Internal: production cost
b. External: purchasing cost
2. Order/setup cost (C)
a. Internal: setup cost
b. External: ordering cost
3. Holding/carrying cost (capital costs, taxes, insurance, handling, storage, shrinkage, obsolescence and deterioration)
4. Stockout cost
a. Internal: lost in production and a delay in a completion date
b. External: backorder cost, present profit loss (potential sale) and future profit loss (goodwill erosion)

## Ubaya Types of COST

## REORDER COST.

the cost of placing a repeat order for the item \& include allowances for

- drawing-up an order (with checking, getting authorization, clearance and distribution),
- correspondence and telephone costs,
- Receiving (with unloading, checking and testing),
- supervision,
- use of equipment
- costs for quality control, transport, delivery, sorting and movement of received goods

The reorder cost should be the cost of repeat orders and
first-time
purchases, which might include allowances for

- finding suitable suppliers
- checking their reliability and quality
- requesting quotations
- negotiations with alternative suppliers, and so on.


## HOLDING COST.

the cost of holding one unit of an item in stock for one period of time.

- The most obvious cost of holding stock is money tied up - which is either borrowed (in which case there is interest to pay), or
- could be put to other use (in which case there are opportunity costs).

Other holding costs are due to

- storage space (supplying a warehouse, rent, rates, heat, light, etc.)
- loss (due to damage, obsolescence and pilferage)
- handling (including all movement, special packaging, refrigeration, putting on pallets, etc.)
- administration (stock checks, computer updates, etc.)
- insurance.


## SHORTAGE COST

The cost that is associated with the shortage occurred. In the simplest case a retailer from a lost sale. Usually, though, the effects of shortages are wider than this and include:

- loss of goodwill,
- loss of future sales,
- loss of reputation, and so on.

Shortage costs might also contain allowances for positive action
shortage, such as:

- sending out emergency orders,
- paying for special deliveries, using alternative and more expensive suppliers,
- storing partly finished goods.


## UBAYA KLASIFKASI MASALAHPERSEDIAAN

1. Repetitiveness (single or repeat order)
2. Supply source (outside or inside supply)
3. Knowledge of demand
a. Constant or variable demand
b. Independent or dependent demand
4. Knowledge of lead time (constant or variable lead time)
5. Inventory system (perpetual, periodic, MRP, DRP, SOQ)

## UBAYA MODEL SISTEMPERSEDIAAN

Inventory System Models


1. Sebutkan jenis persediaan apa saja yang terdapat pada industri kertas
2. Sebutkan fungsi persediaan pada distributor
3. Apa tujuan adanya manajemen persediaan dalam perusahaan?
4. Sebutkan komponen biaya persediaan dan bagaimana cara menentukan besarnya fraksi simpan dan biaya kekurangan
5. Faktor apa saja yang perlu diperhatikan dalam pemilihan model sistem persediaan?


## UBAYA Logistics Cost vs Customer Service

Communication


Materials
handling and warehousing


Order processing costs

Total logistics cost factors
Customer service factors

## UBaya What is ABC analysis?

an inventory categorization method which consists in dividing items into three categories
A. being the most valuable items

B. being the middle valuable ones
C. being the least valuable ones


## UBAYA The ABC analysis

# The ABC approach states that a company should rate items from A to C , basing its ratings on the following rules: 



A-items are goods which annual consumption value is the highest; the top $70-80 \%$ of the annual consumption value of the company typically accounts for only 1020\% of total inventory items.

B-items are the interclass items, with a medium consumption value; those $15-25 \%$ of annual consumption value typically accounts for $30 \%$ of total inventory items

C-items are, on the contrary, items with the lowest consumption value; the lower $5 \%$ of the annual consumption value typically accounts for 50\% of total inventory items.

## UBAYA Inventory management policies

Each item should receive a treatment corresponding to its class:

- A-items should have tight inventory control, more secured storage areas and better sales forecasts; reorders should be frequent, with weekly or even daily reorder; avoiding stock-outs on A-items is a priority
- B -items benefit from an intermediate status between A and C ; an important aspect of class $B$ is the monitoring of potential evolution toward class A or, in the contrary, toward the class C
- Reordering C-items is made less frequently; a typically inventory policy for C-items consist of having only 1 unit on hand, and of reordering only when an actual purchase is made; this approach leads to stock-out situation after each purchase which can be an acceptable situation, as
C-items the C-items present both low demand and higher risk of excessive inventory costs


## UBAYA Procurement \& Warehouse Applications

The results of an ABC Analysis extend into a number of other inventory control and management processes:

- Review of stocking levels: "A" items will generally have greater impact on projected investment and purchasing spend, and therefore should be managed more aggressively in terms of minimum and maximum inventory levels; inactive items will fall to the bottom of the prioritized list; the bottom of the "C" category is the best place to start when performing a periodic obsolescence review.
- Cycle counting: the higher the usage, the more activity an item is likely to have; to ensure accurate record balances, higher priority items are cycle counted more frequently; "A" items are counted once every quarter; "B" items once every 6 months; and " $C$ " items once every 12 months.


## UBAYA Procurement \& Warehouse Applications

The results of an ABC Analysis extend into a number of other inventory control and management processes:

- Identifying items for potential consignment or vendor stocking: since "A" items tend to have a greater impact on investment, these would be the best candidates to investigate the potential for alternative stocking arrangements that would reduce investment liability and associated carrying costs.


## VILFREDO PARETO (1848-1923)

- $20 \%$ of population owns $80 \%$ of nations wealth
- 20\% of employees cause $80 \%$ of problems
- $20 \%$ of items accounts for $80 \%$ of firms expenditure


## UBAYA Annual consumption value

- The annual consumption value is calculated with the formula:


## (Annual demand) x (item cost per unit)

- Through this categorization, the supply manager can identify inventory hot spots, and separate them from the rest of the items, especially those that are numerous but not that profitable.


## UBAYA Steps for the classification of items:

1. Find out the unit cost and and the usage of each material over a given period;
2. Multiply the unit cost by the estimated annual usage to obtain the net value;
3. List out all the items and arrange them in the descending value (Annual Value);
4. Accumulate value and add up number of items and calculate percentage on total inventory in value and in number;
5. Draw a curve of percentage items and percentage value;
6. Mark off from the curve the rational limits of $A, B$ and $C$ categories.

## UBAYA ABC analysis

|  | Percentage <br> of items | Percentage <br> value of <br> annual usage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class A items | About 20\% | About 80\% | Close day <br> to day <br> control |
| Class B items | About 30\% | About 15\% | Regular <br> review |
| Class C <br> items | About 50\% | About 5\% | Infrequent <br> review |

## Example 1

| Item number | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 109 | 110 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unit cost | 5 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 20 | 4 | 9 | 12 |
| Annual demand | 48000 | 2000 | 300 | 800 | 4800 | 1200 | 18000 | 300 | 5000 | 500 |

## Calculate the total spending per year

| Item <br> number | Unit cost | Annual demand | Total cost per <br> year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 5 | 48,000 | 240,000 |
| 102 | 11 | 2,000 | 22,000 |
| 103 | 15 | 300 | 4,500 |
| 104 | 8 | 800 | 6,400 |
| 105 | 7 | 4,800 | 33,600 |
| 106 | 16 | 1,200 | 19,200 |
| 107 | 20 | 18,000 | 360,000 |
| 108 | 4 | 300 | 1,200 |
| 109 | 9 | 5,000 | 45,000 |
| 110 | 12 | 500 | 6,000 |
| Total usage |  |  | 737,900 |

Total cost per year: Unit cost * total cost per year

Calculate the usage of item in total usage

| Item <br> number | Unit <br> cost | Annual <br> demand | Total cost <br> per year | Usage as a <br> $\%$ of total <br> usage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 5 | 48,000 | 240,000 | $32,5 \%$ |
| 102 | 11 | 2,000 | 22,000 | $3 \%$ |
| 103 | 15 | 300 | 4,500 | $0,6 \%$ |
| 104 | 8 | 800 | 6,400 | $0,9 \%$ |
| 105 | 7 | 4,800 | 33,600 | $4,6 \%$ |
| 106 | 16 | 1,200 | 19,200 | $2,6 \%$ |
| 107 | 20 | 18,000 | 360,000 | $48,8 \%$ |
| 108 | 4 | 300 | 1,200 | $0,2 \%$ |
| 109 | 9 | 5,000 | 45,000 | $6,1 \%$ |
| 110 | 12 | 500 | 6,000 | $0,8 \%$ |
| Total usage |  |  | 737,900 | $100 \%$ |

Usage as a \% of total usage = usage of item/total usage

## UBAYA Step 3

## Sort the items by usage

| Item number | Cumulative \% of items | Unit cost | Annual demand | Total cost per year | Usage as a \% of total usage | Cumulative \% of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 | 10\% | 20 | 18,000 | 360,000 | 48,8\% | 48,8\% |
| 101 | 20\% | 5 | 48,000 | 240,000 | 32,5\% | 81,3\% |
| 109 | 30\% | 9 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 6,1\% | 87,4\% |
| 105 | 40\% | 7 | 4,800 | 33,600 | 4,6\% | 92\% |
| 102 | 50\% | 11 | 2,000 | 22,000 | 3,0\% | 94,9\% |
| 106 | 60\% | 16 | 1,200 | 19,200 | 2,6\% | 97,5\% |
| 104 | 70\% | 8 | 800 | 6,400 | 0,9\% | 98,4\% |
| 110 | 80\% | 12 | 500 | 6,000 | 0,8\% | 99,2\% |
| 103 | 90\% | 15 | 300 | 4,500 | 0,6\% | 99,8\% |
| 108 | 100\% | 4 | 300 | 1,200 | 0,2\% | 100\% |
| Total usage |  |  |  | 737,900 | 100\% |  |

## $\underset{\text { Univeritas surabaya }}{\text { UBATMA }} \boldsymbol{B}$

## Results of calculation

| Cathegory | Items | Percentage of <br> items | Percentage <br> usage (\%) | Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class A | 107,101 | $20 \%$ | $81,6 \%$ | Close control |
| Class B | $109,105,102$, <br> 106 | $40 \%$ | $16,2 \%$ | Regular <br> review |
| Class C | $104,110,103$, <br> 108 | $40 \%$ | $2,5 \%$ | Infrequent <br> review |

## UBAYA Additional rules for ABC analysis

| Cathegory | Percentage of <br> items | Percentage of <br> usage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class A items | $5-25 \%$ | $40-80 \%$ |
| Class B items | $20-40 \%$ | $15-40 \%$ |
| Class C items | $40-75 \%$ | $5-20 \%$ |

## $A \leq B \leq C$

## UBAYA Example 2

## Step 1

| Item <br> number | Annual <br> quantity <br> used | Unit value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 75 | 80 |
| 2 | 150,000 | 0,9 |
| 3 | 500 | 3,0 |
| 4 | 18,000 | 0,20 |
| 5 | 3,000 | 0,30 |
| 6 | 20,000 | 0,10 |
| 7 | 10,000 | 2 |


| Item <br> number | Annual <br> quantity <br> used | Unit <br> value | Usage per <br> year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 75 | 80 | 6,000 |
| 2 | 150,000 | 0,9 | 135,000 |
| 3 | 500 | 3,0 | 1,500 |
| 4 | 18,000 | 0,20 | 3,600 |
| 5 | 3,000 | 0,30 | 900 |
| 6 | 20,000 | 0,10 | 2,000 |
| 7 | 10,000 | 2 | 20,000 |
| Total <br> usage |  |  | 169,000 |

## UBAYA Step 2

| Item number | Annual quantity <br> used | Unit value | Usage per year | Percentage in total <br> usage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 75 | 80 | 6,000 | $3,51 \%$ |$⿻$| 2 |
| :---: |

## UBAYA Step 3

| Item number | Cumulative \% of items | Annual quantity used | Unit value | Usage per year | Percentage in total usage (\%) | Cumulative \% of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 14\% | 150,000 | 0,9 | 135,000 | 79,8\% | 79,8\% |
| 7 | 29\% | 10,000 | 2 | 20,000 | 11,8\% | 91,6\% |
| 1 | 42\% | 75 | 80 | 6,000 | 3,51\% | 95,11\% |
| 4 | 56\% | 18,000 | 0,20 | 3,600 | 2,1\% | 97,21\% |
| 6 | 71\% | 20,000 | 0,10 | 2,000 | 1,18\% | 98,39\% |
| 3 | 84\% | 500 | 3,0 | 1,500 | 0,87\% | 99,46\% |
| 5 | 100\% | 3,000 | 0,30 | 900 | 0,53\% | 100\% |
| Total usage |  |  |  | 169,000 |  |  |

## UBAYA Step 4

| Cathegory | Items | Percentage <br> of items | Percentage of <br> usage (\%) | Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class A <br> items | 2 | $15 \%$ | $79,8 \%$ | Close <br> control |
| Class B <br> items | 7,1 | $30 \%$ | $15,31 \%$ | Regular <br> review |
| Class C <br> items | $3,4,5,6$ | $55 \%$ | $4,89 \%$ | Infreque <br> nt review |

## UBAYA Conclusion

- The boundary between class A and class B might not be as sharply defined;
- The purpose of this classification is to ensure that purchasing staff use resources to maximum efficiency by concentrating on those items that have the greatest potential savings $\rightarrow$ selective control will be more effective than an approach that treats all items identically.


